

## EXPANDED VERSION OF §5

MARK WILDON

The argument at the start of the second paragraph of §5 of my paper [2] leaves too much to the reader. Here is a more careful version.

*Reminder of setting.* The permutation group  $G$  acts regularly on the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$  and has  $\langle g \rangle \cong C_d$  as a regular cyclic subgroup. From §3 we have the corresponding permutation module  $M = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{d-1} \rangle$ , where  $v_j$  affords the 1-dimensional representation of  $\langle g \rangle$  on which  $g$  acts by  $\zeta^j$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity. Let  $\vartheta$  be the complex character of  $\langle g \rangle$  defined by  $\vartheta(g) = \zeta$ . We have seen that

$$M = \langle v_0 \rangle \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$$

where each  $V_k$  has a basis  $\{j : v_j \in B_k\}$  for disjoint  $B_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ . By definition  $\pi_k$  is the character of  $G$  afforded by the  $\mathbf{C}G$ -module  $V_k$ . Thus  $\pi_k \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle} = \sum_{j \in V_k} \vartheta^j$ .

*Subalgebra.* A self-contained proof that the span of the  $\pi_k$  is a subalgebra of the character ring is outlined in my MathOverflow question and my (later) answer, based on [1]: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/319547/7709>.

*Details of argument: this may replace the first two paragraphs of §5.* Since  $(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$(1) \quad (\pi_k \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle})^p = \left( \sum_{j \in V_k} \vartheta^j \right)^p = \sum_{j \in V_k} \vartheta^{jp} + p\phi$$

where  $\phi$  is a character of  $\langle g \rangle$ . (We do not claim that  $\phi$  is the restriction of a character of  $G$ .) Since the linear span of the  $\pi_k$  is a subalgebra of the character ring, we may also write

$$\pi_k^p = a1_G + \sum_{\ell} (a_{\ell} + pb_{\ell})\pi_{\ell}$$

for some coefficients  $a_{\ell} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $b_{\ell} \in \mathbf{N}_0$  and  $a \in \mathbf{N}_0$ . (In the published paper there is a typo at this point:  $a1_H$  should be  $a1_G$ .) Restricting each side to  $\langle g \rangle$  we obtain

$$(2) \quad (\pi_k \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle})^p = a1_{\langle g \rangle} + \sum_{\ell} (a_{\ell} + pb_{\ell}) \sum_{j \in V_k} \vartheta^j.$$

Fix  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $s$ . Let  $\pi_{\ell}$  be the unique character in the list  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t$  that contains  $\vartheta^s$ . Since the coefficient of  $\vartheta^s$

in (1) is divisible by  $p$ , we see that  $a_\ell = 0$ . We may therefore write (2) in a better way as

$$(3) \quad (\pi_k \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle})^p = a1_{\langle g \rangle} + \sum_{\ell \in L} (a_\ell + pb_\ell)\pi_\ell \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle} + p \sum_{\ell \notin L} b_\ell \pi_\ell \downarrow_{\langle g \rangle}$$

where  $L$  is the set of indices  $\ell$  such that all  $\vartheta^m$  appearing in  $\pi_\ell$  have  $p$  dividing  $m$ . Since the  $\pi_\ell$  have disjoint support it follows that (3) holds without restriction:

$$\pi_k^p = a1_{\langle g \rangle} + \sum_{\ell \in L} (a_\ell + pb_\ell)\pi_\ell + p \sum_{\ell \notin L} b_\ell \pi_\ell.$$

We may therefore set  $\pi = \sum_{\ell} b_\ell \pi_\ell$  and obtain

$$\pi_k^p - p\pi = a1_G + \sum_{\ell \in L} a_\ell \pi_\ell = a1_G + \sum_{\ell \in L} a_\ell \sum_{j \in B_\ell} \vartheta^j.$$

By definition of the set  $L$ , if  $a_\ell \neq 0$  then  $B_\ell$  contains only those  $j$  with  $j$  divisible by  $p$ . Hence if  $a_\ell \neq 0$  for some  $\ell$  then, by Proposition 3.3,  $G$  is imprimitive. We may therefore assume that  $a_\ell = 0$  for all  $\ell$  and so

$$(4) \quad \pi_k^p = a1_G + p\pi$$

for some character  $\pi$  of  $G$  not containing the trivial character. Comparing (1) and (4) we see that  $\sum_{j \in V_k} \vartheta^{jp}$  is equal to some multiple of the trivial character of  $\langle g \rangle$ , plus  $p$  times a character of  $\langle g \rangle$ . Now take the coefficient of  $rp$  for each  $r$  with  $1 \leq r < d/p$  to get that

$$|\{j \in B_k : jp \equiv rp \pmod{d}\}|$$

is a multiple of  $p$  for each such  $r$ . Identifying  $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$  with  $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ , note that  $jp \equiv rp \pmod{d}$  if and only if  $j \in r + \langle d/p \rangle$ . Therefore for each prime  $p$  dividing  $d$ , each  $B_k$  is the union of a subset of  $\langle d/p \rangle$  and some proper cosets  $r + \langle d/p \rangle$ .

Rest as paper

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank an anonymous reader (who disclaimed public acknowledgement) for pointing out this gap in the argument. Of course I have full responsibilities for any remaining errors.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Wolfgang Knapp, *On Burnside's method*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), no. 2, 644–660.
- [2] Mark Wildon, *Permutation groups containing a regular abelian subgroup: the tangled history of two mistakes of Burnside*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **168** (2020), no. 3, 613–633.